arXiv:1606.06459v1 [math.ST] 21 Jun 2016

Non-parametric threshold estimation for classical risk process perturbed by diffusion

Chunhao Cai, Junyi Guo and Honglong You

School of mathematical science
Nankai University
youhonglong815@163.com

Abstract

In this paper,we consider a macro approximation of the flow of a risk reserve, which is first introduced by [16]. The process is observed at discrete time points. Because we cannot directly observe each jump time and size then we will make use of a technique for identifying the times when jumps larger than a suitably defined threshold occurred. We estimate the jump size and survival probability of our risk process from discrete observations.

Keywords: survival estimation, Integrated Squared Error, goodness-of-fit test

1. Introduction

In the field of financial and insurance mathematics, the following model is the most commonly used:

$$X_t = x + ct + \sigma W_t - \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \gamma_i, \tag{1}$$

where x is the initial value and $c > 0$ is a premium rate, $\sigma > 0$ represents the diffusion volatility, N_t is a Poisson process with rate λ counting the number of jumps up to time $t > 0$, $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ...$ are independent and identically distributed ($i.i.d.$) positive random variables with distribution F, $\sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \gamma_i$ represents the aggregate jumps up to time t, W_t is a Wiener process independent of N_t and γ_i. In order to avoid the almost sure ruin of (1), we assume that the premium rate can be written as $c = (1 + \theta_0)\lambda\mu$ where the premium loading factor θ_0 is positive and $\mu = \int_0^\infty uF(du)$.

This model was first introduced by [8] and further studied by many authors during the last few years ([6, 7, 12, 9]). Many results on ruin probability and other ruin problems have been obtained by the works mentioned above. If we define the survival probability of (1) as $\Phi(x)$ then the problem is that, apart from some special cases, a general expression for $\Phi(x)$ does not exist. So in this paper we will construct an estimator of $\Phi(x)$ without specifying any parametric model on F, the distribution function of γ_i.

In our model we will assume that σ and λ are unknown parameters. In the classical Poisson risk model, the estimation of ruin probability has been considered by many authors ([5, 2, 11, 14, 15, 10, 13]). Not the same observation rules as in the work of [18], we only consider a discrete record of $n + 1$ observations $\{X_{t_0^n}, X_{t_1^n}, ..., X_{t_{n-1}^n}, X_{t_n^n}\}$ where $t_i^n = ih_n$, $T_n = t_n^n$ and $h_n > 0$. This observation is also important since the real data is always obtained at discrete time points.

When we want to estimate the distribution of γ_i, we have to separate the contributions of the diffusion part with respect to the jump of the poisson process so the threshold estimation which has been introduced in ([3, 16, 17, 20, 19]) can be used. We only accept there is a jump for the Poisson process between the interval $(t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n]$ if and only if the increment $\Delta_i X = X_{t_i^n} - X_{t_{i-1}^n}$ has a too big absolute value. We define this threshold function $\vartheta(h_n)$ which goes to zero when $h_n \to 0$. Recall that the estimator of the empirical distribution function of γ_i can be written by

$$\hat{F}_{N_t}(u) = \frac{1}{N_t} \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} I_{\{\gamma_i \leq u\}},$$

then we can try to write the estimator as

$$\hat{F}_n(u) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}}} \sum_{i=1}^n I_{\{I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}} \Delta_i X \leq u\}}. \tag{2}$$

In this paper we will try to study the properties of the estimator defined in (2), such as the strong consistency, asymptotic normality. We also obtain the weak consistency in a sense of the integrated squared error (ISE) of the estimator of survival probability such as in [13].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows, in section 2 we will give some notations and construct the estimators for all the unknown parameters and the distribution of γ_i. In section 3, we will give the asymptotic properties of the estimators given in section 2. In section 4, we obtain the weak consistency in a sense of ISE of the estimator of survival probability of our risk process.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. General Notation

Throughout the paper, we use the primary notations and assumptions.

- symbols $\xrightarrow{P}$ and $\xrightarrow{D}$ stand for the convergence in probability, and in law, respectively;
- L_F(respectively, l_F) is the Laplace(respectively, Stieltjes) transform for a function F: for $s > 0$

$$L_F(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-su} F(u)du; \quad l_F(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-su} F(du)$$

where in L_F, F is for every function and in l_F, F is the distribution function.

- $\|f\|_K := (\int_0^K |f(t)|^2 dt)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for a function f. In particular, $\|f\| = \|f\|_\infty$. We say that $f \in L^2(0, K)$ if $\|f\|_K < \infty$ and $f \in L^2(0, \infty)$ if $\|f\| < \infty$, respectively.
- For a stochastic sequence X_n, we denote by $X_n = O_P(R_n)$ if $\frac{X_n}{R_n}$ is bounded in probability and $X_n = o_P(R_n)$ if $\frac{X_n}{R_n} \xrightarrow{P} 0$
- $\mathbb{E}$ is a compact subset of $(0, \infty)$.
- $k_n > 0$ is a real-value sequence.

We make the following assumptions.

A. Let σ, γ satisfy: $\sigma < Q$, $0 < \Gamma \leq \gamma < Q$, with $Q > 0$.

B. For $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $p, q > 1$ with $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ and $s \in \mathbb{E}$,

1. $\alpha_n^\delta(k_n^2) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$;

2. $\sqrt{T_n}(\alpha_n^\delta(k_n) + \omega_n(s,p,q) + \Gamma(s,\varphi_n)) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$,

where

$$\alpha_n^\delta(k_n) = k_n h_n^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}, \quad \Gamma(s,\varphi_n) = \lambda \int_0^\infty (M_s - \varphi_n \circ M_s)(x) F(dx)$$

and

$$\omega_n(s,p,\rho) = h_n^{\frac{1+\rho}{q}-1}(\lambda \int_0^\infty |M_s(x)|^p F(dx))^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

2.2. Estimator of unknown parameters and l_F

Now we will try to construct the estimator of σ^2, λ, $\rho = \frac{\lambda\mu}{c}$ and l_F. Because we only consider the case where observations are discrete, that is to say the jumps are not observable. To overcome the problem, [3], [20] and [17] have used a jump-discriminant filter of the form

$$\mathcal{C}_i^n(\vartheta(h_n)) = \{\omega \in \Omega; |\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}$$

to discriminate between jumps and large Brownian shocks in an interval $(t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n]$ in the cases of jump-diffusions. They judged that no jump had occurred if $|\Delta_i X| \leq \vartheta(h_n)$ and that a single jump had occurred if $|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)$ by choosing the threshold $\vartheta(h_n)$ suitably.

According to the work of [20], we can define the following estimators of σ^2, λ, ρ and l_F :

$$\widetilde{\sigma_n^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |\Delta_i X - ch_n|^2 I_{\{|\Delta_i X| \leq \vartheta(h_n)\}}}{h_n \sum_{i=1}^n I_{\{|\Delta_i X| \leq \vartheta(h_n)\}}}, \quad \widetilde{\lambda_n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}}}{T_n},$$

$$\widetilde{\rho_n} = \frac{1}{c}\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (|\Delta_i X|) I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}}}{T_n}, \quad \widetilde{l_F}_n(s) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (e^{-s|\Delta_i X|}) I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}}}{\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}}}$$

where $\vartheta(h_n) = Lh_n^\omega$, $L > 0$, $\omega \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $s \in \mathbb{E}$.

Remark 2.1. Here, we choose $\vartheta(h_n) = Lh_n^\omega$ for a constant $L > 0$ and $\omega \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. In [3], the author proposed the jump-discriminant threshold function such as $\vartheta(h_n) = L\sqrt{h_n \log \frac{1}{h_n}}$ for a constant $L > 0$. In [20], the author proposed $\vartheta(h_n) = Lh_n^\omega$ for a constant $L > 0$ and $\omega \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. First of all, it is obvious that their threshold functions satisfy the conditions: $\vartheta(h_n) \to 0$ and $\sqrt{h_n}\vartheta(h_n)^{-1} \to 0$. If $\vartheta(h_n)$ does not converge to zero then one cannot detect small jumps even when $n \to \infty$. Moreover, if $\vartheta(h_n)$ converges to zero faster than the order of $\sqrt{h_n}$ then the filter $\mathcal{C}_i^n(\vartheta(h_n))$ would possibly misjudge the Brownian noise as a small jump sine the variation of the Brownian motion is of order $\sqrt{h_n \log \frac{1}{h_n}}$. Actually, the threshold function $\vartheta(h_n)$ in [20] and [3] are all suited for our aim. For the convenience of proof on our results, we choose $\vartheta(h_n)$ in [20]. For a detailed account on the threshold functions we refer to [17].

3. Properties of the Estimators

3.1. Asymptotic Normality of $F_n(u)$

First we will try to get the asymptotic normality of $\hat{F}_n(u)$. Before that we will define $\bar{F} = 1 - F$ where F is the distribution of γ_i and $\hat{\bar{F}}_n(u) = 1 - \hat{F}_n(u)$. Then

$$\hat{\bar{F}}_n(u) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}}} \sum_{i=1}^n I_{\{\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}}>u\}},$$

then we have the following result:

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $nh_n \to \infty$, $h_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and the condition* **A** *in section 2 is satisfied, then*

$$\sqrt{T_n}\left(\hat{\bar{F}}_n(u) - \overline{F}(u)\right) \xrightarrow{D} \mathbb{N}(0, \frac{\overline{F}(u)(1-\overline{F}(u))}{\lambda}). \tag{3}$$

then we change to $\bar{F}_n(u)$ and $F(u)$ that is

$$\sqrt{T_n}\left(\hat{F}_n(u) - F(u)\right) \xrightarrow{D} \mathbb{N}(0, \frac{F(u)(1-F(u))}{\lambda}) \tag{4}$$

To prove this theorem, we need the following lemma:

Proposition 3.2. *Following from the condition of Theorem 3.1, for any $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P\left(|\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}} - \gamma_{\tau^{(i)}} I_{\{\Delta_i N \geq 1\}}| > \epsilon\right) = 0, \tag{5}$$

where $\gamma_{\tau^{(i)}}$ is the eventual jump in time interval $(t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n]$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
&P\left(|\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}} - \gamma_{\tau^{(i)}} I_{\{\Delta_i N \geq 1\}}| > \epsilon\right) \\
&\leq P\left(|\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n), \Delta_i N = 0\}}| > \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) \\
&+P\left(|\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}} - \gamma_{\tau^{(i)}} | I_{\{\Delta_i N = 1\}} > \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) \\
&+P\left(|\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}} - \gamma_{\tau^{(i)}} | I_{\{\Delta_i N \geq 2\}} > \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Due to $P\{|\sigma \int_{t_{i-1}^n}^{t_i^n} dW_s| \geq c\} \leq 2e^{\frac{-c^2}{2Q^2 h_n}}$, the first term in (6) is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned}
&P\left(ch_n > \frac{\epsilon}{6}\right) + P\left(|\sigma \int_{t_{i-1}^n}^{t_i^n} dW_s| > \frac{\epsilon}{6}\right) \\
&\leq P\left(ch_n > \frac{\epsilon}{6}\right) + 2e^{\frac{-\epsilon^2}{72Q^2 h_n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The therm

$$|\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}} - \gamma_{\tau^{(i)}} | I_{\{\Delta_i N = 1\}}$$

is equal to

$$\left| |ch_n + \sigma \int_{t_{i-1}^n}^{t_i^n} dW_s + \gamma_{\tau^{(i)}} | I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}} - \gamma_{\tau^{(i)}} \right| I_{\{\Delta_i N = 1\}}$$
$$\leq |ch_n + \sigma \int_{t_{i-1}^n}^{t_i^n} dW_s| + |\gamma_{\tau^{(i)}}| I_{\{|\Delta_i X| \leq \vartheta(h_n), \Delta_i N = 1\}}.$$

Thus, (6) is dominated by

$$2P\left(ch_n > \frac{\epsilon}{6}\right) + 4e^{\frac{-\epsilon^2}{72Q^2 h_n}} + P\left(\Delta_i N = 1\right) + P\left(\Delta_i N \geq 2\right). \tag{7}$$

Therefor, (6) tends to zero as $n \to \infty$. □

Now we will prove the Theorem 3.1. Because

$$\sqrt{T_n}\left[\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}}} \sum_{i=1}^n I_{\{\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}} > u\}} - \overline{F}(u)\right]$$
$$= \sqrt{T_n}\left[\frac{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(I_{\{\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}} > u\}} - \overline{F}(u) I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}}\right)}{T_n}}{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}}}{T_n}}\right]$$
$$= \frac{J}{G}. \tag{8}$$

where

$$J = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(I_{\{\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}} > u\}} - \overline{F}(u) I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}}\right)}{\sqrt{T_n}}$$

and

$$G = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}}}{T_n}$$

First, we will calculate the limit of the expectation of J:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E[J]$$
$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{\sqrt{T_n}}\left[E(I_{\{\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}} > u\}} - \overline{F}(u) I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}})\right]$$
$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{\sqrt{T_n}} E(H)$$
$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{\sqrt{T_n}}\left[P(\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}} > u) - \overline{F}(u) P(|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n))\right],$$

where, $H = I_{\{\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}} > u\}} - \overline{F}(u) I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}}$.

By Proposition 3.2 and [3], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n\to\infty} P(\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}} > u) \\
&= P(\gamma_{\tau^{(i)}} I_{\{\Delta_i N\geq 1\}} > u) \\
&= P(\gamma_{\tau^{(i)}} I_{\{\Delta_i N\geq 1\}} > u|\Delta_i N \geq 1)P(\Delta_i N \geq 1) \\
&= P(\gamma_{\tau^{(i)}} > u|\Delta_i N \geq 1)P(\Delta_i N \geq 1) \\
&= P(\gamma_{\tau^{(i)}} > u)P(\Delta_i N \geq 1) \\
&= \overline{F}(u)(\lambda h_n + o(h_n)) \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to\infty} P(|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)) &= P(\Delta_i N \geq 1) \\
&= \lambda h_n + o(h_n). \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefor,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E[J] = 0.$$

Now, we will calculate the limit of the variation of J:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n\to\infty} Var[J] \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{\sqrt{T_n}} \left[Var(I_{\{\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}}>u\}} - \overline{F}(u) I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}})\right] \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{T_n} Var(H) \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{T_n} [E(H)^2 - E^2(H)] \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{T_n} [E(H)^2].
\end{aligned}$$

The term $E(H)^2$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
E(I^2_{\{\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}}>u\}} &+ \overline{F}(u)^2 I^2_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}} \\
&- 2\overline{F}(u) I_{\{\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}}>u\}} I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Due to $I^2 = I$ and
$I_{\{\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}}>u\}} I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}} = I_{\{\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}}>u\}}$, $E(H)^2$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
E(I_{\{\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}}>u\}} &+ \overline{F}(u)^2 I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}} \\
&- 2\overline{F}(u) I_{\{\Delta_i X I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}}>u\}}).
\end{aligned}$$

By (11) and (10),

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to\infty} Var[J] &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{T_n} [\lambda h_n \overline{F}(u)(1 - \overline{F}(u)) + o(h_n)] \\
&= \lambda \overline{F}(u)(1 - \overline{F}(u)).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the central limit theorem, we have

$$J \xrightarrow{D} \mathbb{N}(0, \lambda\overline{F}(u)(1-\overline{F}(u)))$$

as $n \to \infty$

From the Lemma 3.3, we have $G \xrightarrow{P} \lambda$ and then by the Slutsky's theorem, we have

$$\frac{J}{G} \to^{D} \mathbb{N}(0, \frac{\overline{F}(u)(1-\overline{F}(u))}{\lambda})$$

3.2. Study of the estimatiors in section 2.2

As is well known in the inference for discretely observed diffusions that:

$$\widetilde{\sigma_n^2} \xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2, \quad n \to \infty.$$

In addition, if $nh_n^2 \to 0$,

$$\sqrt{n}(\widetilde{\sigma_n^2} - \sigma^2) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, 2\sigma^4)$$

as $n \to \infty$. The proof is from Theorem 3.1 in [16].

In order to find the asymptotic nomality of $\widetilde{\lambda_n}$, $\widetilde{\rho_n}$ and $\widetilde{l_{F_n}}$, We will first define a truncation function $\varphi_n(x)$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. $|\varphi_n(x)| \leq \beta_n$ *a.e.* for a real-valued sequence β_n such that $\beta_n \uparrow \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.
2. $\varphi_n(x) \to x$ *a.e.* as $n \to \infty$.

Through this φ_n we will redefine the estimator of λ, ρ and l_F. Moreover, we consider the estimator of the product of λl_F. They are defined as

$$\widetilde{\rho_n}^* = \frac{1}{c}\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n(\varphi_n \circ M_s^1)(|\Delta_i X|)I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}}}{T_n},$$

$$\widetilde{\lambda l_{F_n}}^*(s) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n(\varphi_n \circ M_s^2)(|\Delta_i X|)I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}}}{T_n},$$

where $M_s^1(x) = x, M_s^2(x) = e^{-sx}$,

$$\varphi_n \circ M_s(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} M_s(x) & if\,(M_s(x) \bigvee \sup_{s\in\mathbb{E}}(M_s(x))'_s \bigvee \sup_{s\in\mathbb{E}}(M_s(x))'_x) \leq \kappa_n, \\ 0 & Otherwise, \end{array} \right\}$$

and $\kappa_n > 0$ is a real-value sequence, we have the following results:

Lemma 3.3. *Supposes $nh_n \to \infty$, $h_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, then*

$$\widetilde{\lambda_n} \xrightarrow{P} \lambda, \quad \widetilde{\rho_n}^* \xrightarrow{P} \rho, \tag{11}$$

and

$$\sup_{\{s|s\in\mathbb{E}\}} |\widetilde{\lambda_n}\widetilde{l_F}_n^*(s) - \lambda l_F(s)| \xrightarrow{P} 0. \tag{12}$$

In addition, if the Condition **B** *in section 2.1 is satisfied, $\int_0^\infty x^2F(dx) < \infty$ and $nh_n^{1+\beta} \to 0$ for some $\beta \in (0,1)$, we have*

$$\sqrt{T_n}(\widetilde{\lambda_n} - \lambda) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \lambda), \tag{13}$$

$$\sqrt{T_n}(\widetilde{\rho_n}^* - \rho) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \frac{\lambda}{c^2}\int_0^\infty x^2 F(dx)) \tag{14}$$

and

$$\sqrt{T_n}\left(\widetilde{\lambda_n}\widetilde{l_F}_n^*(s) - \lambda l_F(s)\right) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \lambda\int_0^\infty e^{-2sx}F(dx)), \tag{15}$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. First from the theorem 3.2 and 3.4 in [16], it is easy to get (11) and (12). So we only need to check (13),(14) and (15). We define

$$\nu_n(s) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_n \circ M_s(|\Delta_i X|) I_{\{|\Delta_i X| > \vartheta(h_n)\}}}{T_n}$$

and

$$\nu(s) = \lambda\int_0^\infty M_s(x)F(dx)$$

Notice that

$$\sqrt{T_n}(\nu_n(s) - \nu(s)) = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_{n,i}$$

where

$$Y_{n,i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T_n}}\left(\varphi_n \circ M_s(|\Delta_i X|) I_{\{|\Delta_i X|\vartheta(h_n)\}} - h_n\lambda\int_0^\infty M_s(x)F(dx)\right) \tag{16}$$

we can apply the central limit theorem for $\{Y_{n,i}\}_{1\le i\le n}$. If the following conditions (17)-(19) are satisfied, we can obtain (13)-(15) by [1]

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |E[Y_{n,i}]| \xrightarrow{P} 0, \tag{17}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n E[Y_{n,i}]^2 \xrightarrow{P} \lambda\int_0^\infty M_s^2 F(dx), \tag{18}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n E[Y_{n,i}]^4 \xrightarrow{P} 0 \tag{19}$$

The details of the proofs of (17)-(19) may refer to Theorem [17]. □

4. Integrated Squared Error (ISE) of the Esitimator

4.1. Weak consistency in the **ISE** *sense*

We will present our important result that states a convergence in probability of the ISE of the estimator.

First let us recall that let $\tau(x)$ be the time of ruin with the initial reserve x: $\tau(x) = \inf\{t > 0, X_t \le 0\}$. The survival probability $\Phi(x)$ is defined as follows:

$$\Phi(x) \quad = \quad P\{\tau(x) = \infty\}.$$

As we know, a general expression for $\Phi(x)$ does not exist, but the corresponding Laplace transform of $\Phi(x)$ can be obtained by [18], there we take $\omega \equiv 1$ and $\delta = 0$ which is the Laplace transform of our model:

$$\begin{aligned} L_\Phi(s) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-sx}\Phi(x)dx \\ &= \frac{1-\frac{\lambda\mu}{c}}{s+\frac{\sigma^2}{2c}s^2-\frac{\lambda}{c}(1-l_F(s))} \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

with the estimators of the parameters in (20), we define $\widetilde{L_\Phi(s)}$ as the estimator of $L_\Phi(s)$:

$$\widetilde{L_\Phi(s)} = \frac{1-\widetilde{\rho_n}^*}{s+\frac{\widetilde{\sigma_n^2}}{2c}s^2-\frac{\widetilde{\lambda}_n}{c}(1-\widetilde{l_{F_n}}^*(s))}. \tag{21}$$

In order to estimate the original functions $\Phi(x)$, we will apply a regularized inversion of the Laplace transform proposed by [4] to (21). The regularized inversion is defined as follows, which is available for any L^2 functions.

Definition 4.1. *Let $m > 0$ be a constant. The regularized Laplace inversion $L_m^{-1} : L^2 \to L^2$ is given by*

$$L_m^{-1}g(t) = \frac{1}{\pi^2}\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \Psi_m(y)y^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-tvy}g(v)dvdy$$

for a function $g \in L^2$ and $t \in (0,\infty)$, where

$$\Psi_m(y) = \int_0^{a_m} cosh(\pi x)cos(x\log y)dx$$

and $a_m = \pi^{-1}cosh^{-1}(\pi m) > 0$.

Remark 4.2. It is well known that the norm L^{-1} is generally unbounded, which causes the ill-posedness of the Laplace inversion. However, L_m^{-1} is bounded for each $m > 0$, in particular,

$$\|L_m^{-1}\| \le m\|L\| = \sqrt{\pi}m$$

see [4], equation (3.7).

By (20) and (21), it is obvious that $L_\Phi(s) \notin L^2(0,\infty)$ and $\widetilde{L_\Phi}(s) \notin L^2(0,\infty)$. The regularized laplace transform inversion L_m^{-1} of Definition 4.1 does not apply at once. In order to ensure they are in $L^2(0,\infty)$, these functions $L_\Phi(s)$ and $\widetilde{L_\Phi}(s)$ will be slightly modified.

For arbitrary fixed $\theta > 0$, we define $\Phi_\theta(x) = e^{-\theta x}\Phi(x)$. It is obvious that

$$L_{\Phi_\theta}(s) = L_\Phi(s+\theta), \quad \widetilde{L_{\Phi_\theta}(s)} = \widetilde{L_\Phi(s+\theta)}.$$

Let us define an estimaor of $\Phi(x)$: for given numbers $\theta > 0$ and $m(n)$, we denote by

$$\widetilde{\Phi_n}(x) = e^{\theta x}\widetilde{\Phi_{\theta,m(n)}}(x), \tag{22}$$

where $\widetilde{\Phi_{\theta,m(n)}}(x) = L_{m(n)}^{-1}\widetilde{L_{\Phi_\theta}}(s)$.

Now we shall present our important result which states a convergence in probability of the ISE on compacts.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose the same assumption as in Lemma 3.3 and the net profit condition: $c > \lambda\mu$. Moreover, suppose that there exist a constant $K > 0$ such that $0 \le \Phi'(x) = g(x) \le K < \infty$. Then, for numbers $m(n)$ such that $m(n) = \sqrt{\frac{T_n}{\log T_n}}$ as $n \to \infty$ and for any constant $B > 0$, we have*

$$\|\widetilde{\Phi}_{m(n)} - \Phi\|_B^2 = O_P((\log T_n)^{-1}) \quad (n \to \infty).$$

4.2. The proof of Theorem 4.3

To prove Theorem 4.3, we need the following lemma:

The Lemma 4.4, which is essentially obtained by the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [4], shows that L_n^{-1} can be a Laplace inversion asymptotically in ISE sense.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that, for a function $f \in L^2$ with the derivative f', $\int_0^\infty [t(t^{\frac{1}{2}} f(t))']^2 t^{-1} dt < \infty$, then*

$$\|L_n^{-1} L_f - f\| = O\left((\log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \quad (n \to \infty).$$

Now we will prove the Theorem 4.3.

Proof. By (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widetilde{\Phi}_{m(n)} - \Phi\|_B^2 &\le e^{2\theta B} \|\widetilde{\Phi}_{\theta,m(n)} - \Phi_\theta\|_B^2 \\
&\le 2e^{2\theta B} \{\|L_{m(n)}^{-1} \widetilde{L_{\Phi_\theta}} - L_{m(n)}^{-1} L_{\Phi_\theta}\|^2 + \|\Phi_{\theta,m(n)} - \Phi_\theta\|^2\} \\
&= 2e^{2\theta B} \left[\|I_1 + I_2\|\right].
\end{aligned}$$

In order to deal with I_2, let us write $\Phi'_\theta = g_\theta$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty [x(\sqrt{x}\Phi_\theta(x))']^2 \frac{1}{x} dx \\
= & \int_0^\infty [x(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}\Phi_\theta(x) + x\sqrt{x} g_\theta(x))]^2 \frac{1}{x} dx \\
\le & \int_0^\infty 2\frac{1}{x}[x(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}\Phi_\theta(x)]^2 + \int_0^\infty 2\frac{1}{x}[x\sqrt{x} g_\theta(x)]^2 dx \\
= & \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2}\Phi_\theta^2(x) dx + 2\int_0^\infty x^2 g_\theta^2(x) dx \\
\le & \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\theta x} dx + 2\int_0^\infty x^2 [g(x)e^{-\theta x} - \theta\Phi(x)e^{-\theta x}]^2 dx \\
\le & \frac{1}{4\theta} + 4\int_0^\infty x^2 g^2(x) e^{-2\theta x} dx + 4\theta^2 \int_0^\infty \Phi^2(x) x^2 e^{-2\theta x} dx \\
\le & \frac{1}{4\theta} + 4(K^2 + \theta^2) \int_0^\infty x^2 e^{-2\theta x} dx \\
< & +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Lemma 4.4, we may conclude that

$$\|\Phi_{\theta,m(n)} - \Phi_\theta\|^2 = O(\frac{1}{\log m(n)}). \tag{23}$$

Next, we consider the formula I_1. By (20) and (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\widetilde{L_{\Phi_\theta}} - L_\theta\|^2 \\
\leq & \int_0^\infty |\frac{1-\widetilde{\rho_n}^*}{\widetilde{D}(s+\theta)} - \frac{1-\rho}{D(s+\theta)}|^2 ds \\
= & \int_0^\infty |\frac{1-\widetilde{\rho_n}^*}{\widetilde{D}(s+\theta)} - \frac{1-\rho}{\widetilde{D}(s+\theta)} + \frac{1-\rho}{\widetilde{D}(s+\theta)} - \frac{1-\rho}{D(s+\theta)}|^2 ds \\
\leq & \int_0^\infty 2\left(\frac{(1-\rho)(\widetilde{D}(s+\theta) - D(s+\theta))}{\widetilde{D}(s+\theta)D(s+\theta)}\right)^2 + 2\left(\frac{(\widetilde{\rho_n}^* - \rho)}{\widetilde{D}(s+\theta)}\right)^2 ds. \\
\leq & 2\int_0^\infty \frac{(\widetilde{D}(s+\theta) - D(s+\theta))^2}{(s+\theta)^4(1-\widetilde{\rho_n}^*)^2} ds + 2\int_0^\infty (\frac{\widetilde{\rho_n} * -\rho}{1-\widetilde{\rho_n}^*})^2 \frac{1}{(s+\theta)^2} ds \\
= & 2\int_0^{T_n} \frac{(\widetilde{D}(s+\theta) - D(s+\theta))^2}{(s+\theta)^4(1-\widetilde{\rho_n}^*)^2} ds \\
& +2\int_{T_n}^\infty \frac{(\widetilde{D}(s+\theta) - D(s+\theta))^2}{(s+\theta)^4(1-\widetilde{\rho_n}^*)^2} ds \\
& +2\int_0^\infty (\frac{\widetilde{\rho_n}^* - \rho}{1-\widetilde{\rho_n}^*})^2 \frac{1}{(s+\theta)^2} ds
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{D_n}(s+\theta) - D(s+\theta) \\
= & \frac{(s+\theta)^2}{2c}(\widetilde{\sigma_n}^2 - \sigma^2) + \frac{1}{c}\left((\lambda - \widetilde{\lambda_n}) + (\widetilde{\lambda_n}\widetilde{l_F}_n^*(s+\theta) - \lambda l_F(s+\theta))\right) \\
= & O_P(T_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) + \frac{1}{c}(\widetilde{\lambda_n}\widetilde{l_F}_n^*(s+\theta) - \lambda l_F(s+\theta)).
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Note that we used here the fact that $\widetilde{\sigma_n}^2 - \sigma^2 = o_P(T_n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$.

Now we consider the second term in (24). By (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{T_n}^\infty \frac{(\widetilde{D}(s+\theta) - D(s+\theta))^2}{(s+\theta)^4(1-\widetilde{\rho_n}^*)^2} ds \\
\leq & O_P(T_n^{-1}) \int_{T_n}^\infty \frac{1}{(s+\theta)^4} ds + \frac{2}{c^2}\int_{T_n}^\infty \frac{(\widetilde{\lambda_n}\widetilde{l_F}_n^*(s+\theta) - \lambda l_F(s+\theta))^2}{(s+\theta)^4(1-\widetilde{\rho_n}^*)^2} ds \\
\leq & O_P(T_n^{-1}) \int_{T_n}^\infty \frac{1}{(s+\theta)^4} ds + \frac{4}{c^2}\int_{T_n}^\infty \frac{\widetilde{\lambda_n}^2 + \lambda^2}{(s+\theta)^4(1-\widetilde{\rho_n}^*)^2} ds \\
= & O_P(T_n^{-1}) \int_{T_n}^\infty \frac{1}{(s+\theta)^4} ds + O_P(1)\int_{T_n}^\infty \frac{1}{(s+\theta)^4} ds \\
= & O_P(1)\int_{T_n}^\infty \frac{1}{(s+\theta)^4} ds \\
= & O_P(\frac{1}{T_n^3}).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3, the first term of (24) is

$$2\int_0^T \frac{(\widetilde{D}(s+\theta)-D(s+\theta))^2}{(s+\theta)^4(1-\widetilde{\rho_n}^*)^2}ds \quad = \quad O_P(T_n^{-1})$$

and the last term is

$$2\int_0^\infty (\frac{\widetilde{\rho_n}^*-\rho}{1-\widetilde{\rho_n}^*})^2\frac{1}{(s+\theta)^2}ds \quad = \quad O_P(T_n^{-1}).$$

Therefore,

$$\|L_{m(n)}^{-1}\|^2\|\widetilde{L_{\Phi_\theta}}-L_{\Phi_\theta}\|^2 \quad = \quad O_p(\frac{m^2(n)}{T_n}). \tag{26}$$

Combining (23) and (26), we have

$$\|\widetilde{\Phi}_{m(n)}-\Phi\|_B^2 = O_p(\frac{m^2(n)}{T_n})+O_p(\frac{1}{\log m(n)}). \tag{27}$$

With an optimal $m(n)=\sqrt{\frac{T_n}{\log T_n}}$ balanceing the the right hand two terms in (27), the order becomes $O_P((\log T_n)^{-1})$. □

Remark 4.5. The explicit integral expression

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_{m(n)}(u) \quad = \quad \frac{e^{u\theta}}{\pi^2}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty e^{-usy}\widetilde{L_{\Phi_\theta}}(s)\Psi_{m(n)}(y)y^{-\frac{1}{2}}dsdy$$

where $\Psi_{m(n)}(y)=\int_0^{a_{m(n)}}\cosh(\pi x)\cos(x\log(y))dx$ and $a_{m(n)}=\pi^{-1}\cosh^{-1}(\pi m(n))>0$ and $m(n)=\sqrt{\frac{T_n}{\log T_n}}$.

5. Goodness-of-fit Test for γ_i

In theorem 3.1 we have proved the asymptotic properties of $\hat{F}(u)$, but as we can see the variance depends on the unknown parameter λ, when we want to do the problem of goodness-of-fit test for the distribution of γ_i, we want to find the estimator with the distribution free just with the null hypothese: $\mathcal{H}_\prime : F(x)=F_0^(x)$. To achieve this goal, we need the following convergence:

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\{|\Delta_i X|>\vartheta(h_n)\}}}\left(\hat{F}_n(u)-F(u)\right)\xrightarrow{D}\mathbb{N}(0,F(u)(1-F(u)) \tag{28}$$

The proof is the same as in theorem 3.1. Now we construct the Cramér-von Mises W_n^2 and Kolmogorov-Smirnov D_n statistics are

$$W_n^2=n\int_{-\infty}^\infty\left[\hat{F}_n(x)-F_0(x)\right]^2dF_0(x),\quad D_n=\sup_x\left|\hat{F}_n(x)-F_0(x)\right|$$

From the equation (28), we can easily get the limit behavior of these statistics

$$W_n^2\xrightarrow{d}\int_0^1 W_0(s)^2ds,\qquad \sqrt{n}D_n\xrightarrow{d}\sup_{0\le s\le 1}|W_0(s)|$$

where $\{W_0(s),\,0\le s\le 1\}$ is a Brownian bridge, the same as the i.i.d case.

References

[1] A. N. Shiryaev Probability Springer-Verlag,1984

[2] C. Hipp. Estimators and bootstrap confidence intervals for ruin probabilities. Astin Bulletin, 1957–70, 1989.

[3] C. Mancini. Estimation of the characteristics of the jump of a general Poisson-diffusion model. Scandinavian Actuarial Journal, 142–52, 2004.

[4] D. E. Chauveau, A. C. M. Vanrooij, and F. H. Ruymgaart. Regularized inversion of noisy Laplace transforms. Advances in Applied Mathematics, 15(2):186-201, 1994.

[5] EW. Frees. Nonparametric estimation of the probability of ruin. Astin Bulletin, 16:81–90, 1986.

[6] F. Dufresne and H.U. Gerber. Risk theory for the compound Poisson process that is perturbed by diffusion. Insurance:Mathematics and Economics, 10:51–59, 1991.

[7] H.J. Furrer and H. Schimidli. Exponential inequalities for ruin probabilities of risk process perturbed by diffusion. Insurance:Mathematics and Economics, 15:23–36, 1994.

[8] H.U. Gerber. An extension of the renewal equation and its application in the collective theory of risk. Scandinavian Actuarial Journal, 205–210, 1970.

[9] H. Schimidli. Cramer-Lundberg approximations for ruin probabilites of risk processes perturbed by diffusion. Insurance:Mathematics and Economics, 16:135–149, 1995.

[10] K. Politis. Semiparametric estimation for non-ruin probabilities. Scandinavian Actuarial Journal, 2003(1):75–96, 2003.

[11] K. Croux and N. Veraverbeke. Non-parametric estimators for the probability of ruin. Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, 9:127–130, 1990.

[12] N. Veraverbeke. Asymptotic estimates for the probability of ruin in a Poisson model with diffusion. Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, 13:57–62, 1993.

[13] R. Mnatsakanov, L. L. Ruymgaart, and F. H. Ruymgaart. Nonparametric estimation of ruin probabilities given a random sample of claims. Mathematical Methods of Statistics, 17(1): 35–43, 2008.

[14] SM. Pitts. Nonparametric estimation of compound distributions with applications in insurance. Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics, 46(3): 537–555, 1994.

[15] V. E. Bening and V. Y. Korolev. Nonparametric estimation of the ruin probability for generalized risk processes. Theory of Probability and its Applications, 47(1):1–16, 2002.

[16] Y. Shimizu. A new aspect of a risk process and its statistical inference. Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, 44(1):70–77, 2009.

[17] Y. Shimizu. Functional estimation for Levy measures of semimartingales with Poissonian jumps. Journal of Multivariate Analysis, 100(6):1073-1092, 2009.

[18] Y. Shimizu. Non-parametric estimation of the Gerber-Shiu function for the Wiener-Poisson risk model. Scandinavian Actuarial Journal, 2012(1):56–69, 2012.

[19] Y. Shimizu. Denstity estimation of *lévy* measure for discrete observed diffusion processes with jumps. J.Japan Statist. Soc., 36(1):37–62, 2006.

[20] Y. Shimizu and N. Yoshida. Estimation of parameters for diffusion process with jumps from discrete observations. Statistical inference for stochastic processes, 9(3):227–277, 2006.